Previous references.

File No 214—05

1905.

Serial Nos. 17—21

(municipal)

Later references

589—05

Jul 2308

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 17—21 ✓

Part B for July 1905

SUBJECT.

Reconstruction of Bakshi Narasappa's Agrahar at Mysore

	FILE No. Mi. 214 of 1904-05. *Reconstruction of Bakshi Narasappa's Agrahar at Mysore.*
17	Petition, dated 26th May 1905, from Narasimha Sastri, son of Aswatha Sastri of Mysore States that he possessed three ankanams of house in Bakshi Narasappa's Agrahar and that he is now given one ankanam in one building and two in another and prays that three ankanams of house each ankanam having a separate door, may be given or a different site altogether
18	To the President, Municipal Commission, Mysore City, No. 11077, dated 31st May 1905 Forwards the above petition for a detailed report.
19	Endorsement granted to Narasimha Sastri, No. 11078, dated 31st May 1905 Informs him that his petition was forwarded to the President for a detailed report.
20	From the President, Municipal Commission, Mysore City, No. 139, dated 12th June 1905 Submits a detailed report called for in the above.
21	To the same, No 159, dated 5th July 1905 Requests the President to arrange with the consent of the parties concerned to allot a separate house to the petitioner and another house divided between Ramanna and Venkannachar.

G. R. S. 11] 1904 05

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Municipal)

NOTES

File. No 214

FILE HEADING

Reconstruction
~~Petitions from the inhabitants~~ of Bakshe Narasappa's agrahar at Mysore.

From Narasimha Sastry Son of Ananthe Sastry, Mysore

No Petn Dated 8 5 1905 Received 12 5 1905

Diary No 7748	Enclos	Spare Copies	Serial No

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that he possessed 3 ankanams of house in the Bakshe Narasappa's agrahar, & that he is now given one ankanam in one building & 2 ankanams in another & prays that 3 ankanams of house each having a separate door may be given or a different site altogether.

NOTES AND ORDERS

~~The contents of the petitioner's complaint is not quite clear.~~ Draft Instr. Memo forwarding the petn for report to the Board of the Mysore City Municl Comrs for report and Instr. to the petitioner are submitted for approval.

Notes—First sheet.

HGS 26/5/05

JB 26/5/05

MGOPH—30,000—17-4-05

J. Vasanta 27 5 1905

G B S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

Jud File No 214 of 1904-5

Serial No

18 To the President of the Inspore Adj Inferl Court No 11077 & the 31st May 1905
Forwards the petition for report-

19 To the Petitioner Endt No 11078 & 31 5 1905
Informs him of the above

20 From the President No 1897 & 12 6 1905
Reports that under private arrangements, to which the donees are not authorized, Narasimha Sastry is entitled to 1/3rd share in one house & 2/3rd in another, that it is objectionable to partition the small houses in the Agrahar and that the houses have been ordered to be delivered intact to the heirs of the donees leaving the parties to settle their claims among themselves

~~Under the circumstances I do not see the Collector may be reported to the Govt so as to remove to retain from the the orders of the Director to be held for orders to the draft~~

N 6 6 1905 / 7 6 1905 The President to report-
Shows that the Petitioners owned 2 houses in the old Agrahar - 1/3rd in one & 2/3rds in the other, while Messrs Ramanna and Venkanna have

Note—Contn sheet

Arch F 524-2 (J)
1244-05
Petition
(17)

Diary No 7748

Name of petitioner Narasamba
Sastry son of
Aswattha Sastry

Date of petition 8 5 05

Date of receipt 12.5.05

Abstract

States as follows:—

(1) That out of 3 ankanams made over to him as gift on the date Bhakthi
Mahasabha Agraharam, he gave two ankanams to his brothers, and that he had the remaining one ankanam for his own use and having purchased two more Kanams he had separate door fixed on the entrance into the backyard as for the entrance from the street

that he was not given 3 ankanams
exchange in the same way
each having a separate door
facing

removed by

one Bedroom in the house
intended for being made over to
Gobi & the remaining two Antevasams
were in the house purchased by
the Mum especially from one Venkatramachar

(4) That they will be highly in-
convenient to the petitioner
especially as the said Venkatrama-
char threatens the petitioner
that if the latter enters his
Household Threshold he would
be knocked to death and

pray therefore that 3 antevasams
each having separate doors
may be given to the petitioner
or that a different site be given
to him in exchange

13 X 05

3

No. 11077 Encl
Mysore 24-5-1905

GOVERNMENT OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

(Mysore)

Office Memorandum.

To

the 31-5-1905

The President of the Mysore city
Municl Comm.

The accompanying petn Regd No 7748
of the 8th May 1905 received from Nara
Simha Sastry son of Aswatha Sastry
Mysore city, complaining that he was
refd. suich 3 outhouses of house in the
one building and praying that 3 outhouses
of house, each outhouse having a separate
entrance may be given to him in one building
or a different site & forwarded to the
Presidt of the Mysore city Municl Comm
for ~~report~~ careful enquiry & report

By order
27-5-1905
Secretary

Rec'd 27-5-1905

G H. S 24 HCC
24-5-1905

SJ 25/5/05

JB
24/5/05

3

built at a cost of Rs 5078 excluding the cost of acquisition of the site viz Rs 3260 Of the 6 houses newly constructed the right side three houses and one of the three on the left side were given to the marginally noted persons

1 Subba S
2 Rungia
3 Thatha Char
4 Venkatagiri

The remaining 2 houses had to be distributed between the petitioner and one Morching Ramanna and Venkanna Chari under the circumstances explained below

Ashwatha Bhatta the father of the petitioner owned a house in old Bakshi Narasappa's Agrahar His son Subba Rao hypothecated 2/3rd portion of the house allotted to his share to one Morching Ramanna for Rs 325 who delivered the house to the Municipality at the time of the acquisition of the property The petitioner is therefore entitled only to 1/3rd share in this house

Krishna Chari the father of Venkanna Char(who is entitled to 1/3rd share of the house) owned a house in the same Agrahar After the Division of the property among his three sons, Lukshmi Narasimha Shastry the petitioner acquired 2/3rd of the share from his other sons and left only 1/3rd share to Venkannachar

It will thus be seen that the petitioner wants a separate house in lieu of the portions of 2 houses above referred to

In the sunnad granted by late Bakshi Narasappa it is clearly stated that the houses should not be alienated or otherwise disposed of by the donees Nevertheless the parties have broken the conditions by recklessly alienating portions of houses

As I considered it would be very irregular and objectionable to allow the parties to wall up portions of these small houses, which practice if allowed would defeat the very object of sanction and encourage over-crowding I have ordered to deliver the houses intact to the heirs of the donees leaving the parties to settle their claims among themselves

The petition received with the official memorandum under

7

reply is herewith returned

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Alexander Hamilton
for President

Dy K 17/6

190

From

H. H. Shankara Rao Esq

President, City Municipal,
Commission,
Mysore.

To

G. K. Venkatasubbaiah
Iyengar Esq
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
Rev & Gen. Depts

Dated 12th
Despatched 14th } June 05
Received
General No. 1859
No.

Enclosure No. One

Submitting a detailed report on the petition of Rao Sinha Sastry

IV 83
17/6/05

MGOPB—2,000—6-7-02.

21.2 1985

ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ಸಮಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಸಿಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಕಚೇರಿಗೆ ಬಂದರೂ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಲಂಚ ಕೇಳುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಲಂಚ ಕೊಡದಿದ್ದರೆ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಲಂಚ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವುದು ಕಾನೂನಿಗೆ ವಿರುದ್ಧವಾಗಿದೆ. ಲಂಚ ಕೊಡುವುದು ಮತ್ತು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು ಎರಡೂ ಅಪರಾಧವಾಗಿದೆ. ಲಂಚ ಕೊಡುವವರು ಮತ್ತು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವವರು ಇಬ್ಬರೂ ಶಿಕ್ಷೆಗೆ ಒಳಗಾಗುತ್ತಾರ

GRS 28] 107

nil 10

File No

Serial No 21

Diary No of letter replied to 8610

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT, (Municipal)

, the 190

Date of issue 5-7-05

Signed by Ramiya.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

READ —

Letter No 139 dated the 12th June 1905 from the Secretary of the Mysore City Municipal Commission reporting, as called for in this Office Memo No 11077 of the 31st May 1905, Rec(214 or)-18

ORDER THEREON.—No on the petition of Narasimha Astry (Conservancy Mistri) he has not given three months notice to Bakshi Narasaiya, Nos Agrahara and peaceful state enhancement of house and hereby a separate entrance may be

SUBJECT

Requests the Dress to arrange to give one house entirely to Narasimha Sastry in the Bakshi Narasaiya, Agrahara

24.6 1905

given to them in one building
or a different date

Order thereon No 9.5.7 (2114-04) 8.5.7.05

The President's report shows that the petitioner Narasimha Shetty owned 2 houses in the old agrahar - 1/3rd in one house and 2/3rds in the other, while one Veerachina Ramanna and one Venkanna Chetty owned 2/3rds and 1/3rd shares respectively in the two houses of the old agrahar referred to above. ~~He therefore prays that~~ There is also no reason why a separate house should not entirely be allotted to ~~the~~ of the petitioner, and another house divided between Ramanna and Venkanna Chetty. The President is, therefore, requested to arrange accordingly with the Committee

27/6/05

~~Secretary~~

To The President of the Mysore Village Panchayat Committee

Signed Reddy
Chairman
3/7
7/7/05
29/VI/05
Secretary
30/6/1905